

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA

No. 2:24-cv-01558-JAM-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-01559-JAM-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-01560-JAM-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-01561-JAM-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-01600-JAM-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-01601-JAM-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-01602-JAM-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-01603-JAM-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-01604-JAM-CSK
No. 2:24-cv-01605-JAM-CSK

ORDER

Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious

1 litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any
2 proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in
3 Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No.
4 13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of
5 the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for
6 review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's
7 Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (Id.)

8 The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and
9 finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.¹

10 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:24-cv-01558, 2:24-cv-01559, 2:24-cv-
11 01560, 2:24-cv-01561, 2:24-cv-01600, 2:24-cv-01601, 2:24-cv-01602, 2:24-cv-01603, 2:24-cv-
12 1604 and 2:24-cv-01605 are **DISMISSED**; the Clerk of the Court is **DIRECTED** to close these
13 cases. **No further filings will be accepted.**

14 Dated: June 13, 2024

15 /s/ John A. Mendez

16 _____
17 THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
18 SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 ¹ In the caption of 2:24-cv-01558, plaintiff names the Inyo County Superior Court. In the caption
27 of 2:24-cv-01560, plaintiff names the Tulare County Superior Court. To the extent plaintiff
28 intended to raise the claims raised in these cases in the United States District Court for the Eastern
District of California, this Court finds that the claims raised in 2:24-cv-01558 and 2:24-cv-01560
are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.